

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 GREGROY RALPH ABRARIA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 BRYAN MURPHY, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:23-cv-1625 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

17 By an order filed August 11, 2023, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma
18 pauperis application on the form provided by the court and was cautioned that failure to do so
19 would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. ECF No. 4. After plaintiff failed
20 to file a completed application, he was given an additional twenty-one days to file a new
21 application or submit a certified trust account statement and warned that failure to comply would
22 result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without further warning. ECF No. 5.
23 The twenty-one-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an application to proceed
24 in forma pauperis, paid the filing fee, or otherwise responded to the court's orders.


25 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is
26 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

27 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

28 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge

1 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
2 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
3 with the court. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
4 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
5 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
6 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 DATED: November 3, 2023

8 
9 ALLISON CLAIRE
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28